

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10 TODD MICHAEL SCHULTZ,
11 Plaintiff,
12
13 v.
14 MICHAEL C. THOMPSON, et al.,
15 Defendants.


No. 2:23-cv-03452-JAK (MRWx)

**ORDER RE MOTION TO REMAND
CASE TO STATE COURT AT
STANLEY MOSK COURTHOUSE
(DKT. 95)**

1 Based on a review of the Motion to Remand Case to State Court at Stanley Mosk
2 Courthouse (the “Motion” (Dkt. 95)), Plaintiff’s request that this action be remanded to
3 the Los Angeles Superior Court (“LASC”) at its Stanley Mosk Courthouse, is **DENIED**
4 because it was not originally filed in a California Superior Court. Plaintiff must re-file
5 the action in the LASC in accordance with the procedures and rules of that Court,
6 including as to the appropriate courthouse in which it may be filed.

7
8
9 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

10
11 Dated: October 11, 2023



John A. Kronstadt
United States District Judge